American Journal of Open University Education
ISSN 2997-3899
Vol. 2, No. 6, June 2025,
Available online at: https://scientificbulletin.com/index.php/AJOUP

The Cognitive and Articulatory Magic of Tongue Twisters in L2 Pronunciation

Ismoilova SHodiyaxon
Ferghana state university, PHD teacher

Jurayeva Nigora
Ferghana state university, student

Article information:
Manuscript received: 28 Apr 2025; **Accepted:** 27 May 2025; **Published:** 07 Jun 2025

Abstract: Tongue twisters, often viewed as playful linguistic challenges, are powerful tools for improving second language (L2) pronunciation. This study explores their impact on pronunciation accuracy, articulatory speed, and phonemic awareness among 45 intermediate EFL learners over six weeks. Using a mixed-methods approach, we compared a tongue twister intervention group with a control group using traditional drills. Results showed significant improvements in pronunciation (22.4%), articulation speed (23.7%), and phonemic discrimination (71% to 88%) in the experimental group, alongside enhanced cognitive processing and reduced fossilized errors. Qualitative data highlighted increased learner motivation and self-awareness. Grounded in phonological and neurocognitive research, this article illuminates how tongue twisters engage sensorimotor integration and neuroplasticity, offering practical strategies for L2 classrooms.

Keywords: tongue twisters, L2 pronunciation, phonological acquisition, neuroplasticity, sensorimotor integration, phonemic awareness, error fossilization, learner motivation, EFL pedagogy.

INTRODUCTION: THE PLAYFUL POWER OF WORDPLAY

Picture a classroom alive with energy: students are grinning, stumbling, and laughing as they compete with phrases like “Peter Piper picked a peck of pickled peppers” or “The sixth sick sheik’s sixth sheep.” The room hums with joy, but beneath the giggles, something profound is unfolding. Brains are firing, neural pathways are sparking, and mouths are learning to navigate the intricate dance of new sounds. What feels like a playful game is, in fact, a cognitive and articulatory workout, especially for those mastering a second language (L2). Tongue twisters—those quirky, tongue-tangling phrases we associate with childhood or theater warm-ups—are emerging as powerful tools in L2 instruction. Far from mere linguistic amusement, they engage the brain’s speech centers, hone motor skills, and sharpen the ear for subtle sound differences. They’re like a gym session for your mouth and mind, demanding precision, speed, and coordination. For L2 learners, who often grapple with unfamiliar phonemes or the fear of sounding “wrong,” tongue twisters offer a fun, low-stakes path to confidence and clarity. This article dives into the science behind why tongue twisters are so effective for L2 pronunciation. Drawing on neurocognitive research and empirical findings, we’ll explore how these phonetic puzzles enhance pronunciation accuracy, boost articulatory speed, and heighten phonemic awareness. We’ll uncover the brain’s role in this process, from the motor-speech loop to the plasticity

that helps learners sound more native-like. Whether you're a teacher crafting lessons, a learner chasing fluency, or simply someone who loves a good linguistic challenge, this journey into the world of tongue twisters will reveal their transformative potential.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The use of tongue twisters in second language (L2) pronunciation instruction is grounded in a rich tapestry of research spanning phonology, neurocognition, and psycholinguistics. This section explores three key areas that underpin their efficacy: phonological acquisition, neurocognitive mechanisms, and the role of error fossilization and learner motivation.

Phonological Acquisition: mastering the sounds of a new language is a formidable challenge, particularly for adult L2 learners whose phonological systems are shaped by their native language. Flege's (1995) Speech Learning Model provides a foundational framework, suggesting that learners must form new phonetic categories to perceive and produce L2 sounds accurately. Tongue twisters, with their repetitive and phonologically dense structures, offer a dynamic way to practice these categories. For example, phrases like "she sells sea shells" emphasize the /s/-/ʃ/ contrast, helping learners distinguish sounds that may not exist in their L1 (Saito, 2011). Celce-Murcia et al. (2010) argue that explicit pronunciation instruction, including playful exercises, enhances learners' ability to internalize complex phonemes. Similarly, Kuhl (2004) highlights how repetitive auditory exposure—such as that provided by tongue twisters—can refine phonetic perception, even in adult learners, by leveraging the brain's sensitivity to sound patterns.

Neurocognitive Mechanisms: the cognitive demands of tongue twisters engage multiple brain regions, making them a powerful tool for L2 speech learning. Levelt's (1989) model of speech production emphasizes sensorimotor integration—the process by which auditory input guides articulatory output—as a cornerstone of fluent speech. Tongue twisters, with their rapid-fire sequences, amplify this process, requiring learners to synchronize hearing and speaking with precision (Tourville & Guenther, 2011). Neuroimaging studies reveal that such complex speech tasks activate Broca's area (speech production), the supplementary motor area (movement planning), and the cerebellum (motor coordination), fostering neural plasticity essential for adult learners (Bohland & Guenther, 2006; Price, 2012). Hickok and Poeppel (2007) add that this neural engagement strengthens the motor-speech loop, enabling learners to refine pronunciation and fluency over time. These findings suggest that tongue twisters are not just linguistic exercises but neurocognitive workouts that reshape the brain's speech pathways.

Error Fossilization and Motivation: fossilized errors—persistent mispronunciations that resist correction—are a common barrier in L2 pronunciation (Derwing & Munro, 2005). Tongue twisters disrupt these patterns by forcing learners to confront challenging sounds in novel, high-pressure sequences, encouraging active self-monitoring and correction. For instance, a learner struggling with /r/ versus /l/ might find that repeating "red lorry, yellow lorry" highlights and corrects their errors through repetition and feedback (Moyer, 2013). Beyond their technical benefits, tongue twisters also boost learner motivation. Their playful, game-like nature reduces anxiety and fosters a sense of achievement, as noted by Pennington and Richards (1986), who emphasize the importance of engaging methods in pronunciation teaching. Nation and Newton (2009) further suggest that interactive tasks, like tongue twisters, enhance learner engagement by connecting pronunciation practice to communicative goals, making the process feel purposeful and rewarding. This psychological lift is critical, as motivated learners are more likely to persist through the challenges of mastering L2 sounds (Field, 2005). Together, these strands of research underscore the multifaceted benefits of tongue twisters. By aligning with principles of phonological acquisition, leveraging neurocognitive mechanisms, and addressing error fossilization while enhancing motivation, they offer a uniquely effective approach to L2 pronunciation instruction.

METHODS: A PLAYFUL EXPERIMENT IN PRONUNCIATION

To explore the power of tongue twisters, we conducted a six-week study with 45 intermediate English

as a Foreign Language (EFL) learners, aged 18–25, from diverse linguistic backgrounds. These students, eager to refine their pronunciation, were randomly assigned to two groups:

- Control Group: These learners engaged in traditional pronunciation drills, such as repeating vowel sounds (e.g., /i:/ in "see" vs. /ɪ/ in "sit") and practicing minimal pairs like "ship" vs. "sheep." This structured approach is standard in many language classrooms.
- Experimental Group: These learners immersed themselves in tongue twisters, practicing phrases like "The big dwarf only jumps," "Red lorry, yellow lorry," and "This thistle is thorny" for 10–15 minutes daily. These sessions were lively, filled with laughter and retries as students tackled tricky phonemes like /r/-/l/ and /θ/-/ð/.

We employed a mixed-methods approach to capture the full impact:

- Pronunciation Accuracy: Expert phoneticians evaluated recordings of students' speech, scoring their mastery of challenging English sounds, such as /θ/ (as in "think"), /ð/ (as in "this"), and /r/-/l/ distinctions critical for many non-native speakers.
- Articulation Speed: Using Praat software, we measured words per minute to assess fluency and articulatory control.
- Phonemic Awareness: Minimal pair discrimination tasks tested students' ability to distinguish sounds like /s/-/ʃ/ (e.g., "see" vs. "she") and /b/-/p/ (e.g., "bat" vs. "pat").
- Cognitive Processing: The Stroop Task measured reaction times to evaluate cognitive agility in speech-related tasks.

Qualitative data came from weekly interviews and classroom observations, offering insights into the learners' experiences—their challenges, breakthroughs, and moments of joy as they navigated tongue twisters like "How much wood would a woodchuck chuck."

RESULTS: THE SURPRISING IMPACT OF TONGUE TWISTERS

The results were striking, showcasing the transformative potential of tongue twisters in L2 pronunciation.

Quantitative Findings:

- Pronunciation Accuracy: The tongue twister group improved by 22.4% ($p < .01$), far surpassing the control group. Sounds like /θ/ in "this thistle is thorny" and /r/ in "red lorry, yellow lorry" became noticeably clearer.
- Articulation Speed: The experimental group spoke 23.7% faster without sacrificing clarity, as measured by Praat. Their ability to navigate complex sequences like "Peter Piper picked a peck" improved markedly.
- Phonemic Discrimination: Accuracy in distinguishing minimal pairs jumped from 71% to 88%. For example, learners could better differentiate "ship" from "sheep" after practicing "she sells sea shells."
- Stroop Task Times: Reaction times decreased significantly, indicating that the cognitive demands of tongue twisters enhanced mental agility for speech tasks.

Qualitative Insights: Interviews revealed the human side of these numbers. One student shared, "I used to say 'think' like 'sink,' but 'this thistle is thorny' made me hear the difference." The playful nature of tongue twisters kept learners engaged, transforming pronunciation practice into a game they eagerly anticipated. Fossilized errors, like conflating /r/ and /l/, began to fade, and hesitation—a common hurdle—diminished as confidence grew. As one learner put it, "I feel like my tongue is finally listening to my brain!" These findings align with neuroimaging evidence showing that complex speech tasks activate Broca's area, the supplementary motor area, and the cerebellum, enhancing neural pathways for speech production (Bohland & Guenther, 2006; Price, 2012).

DISCUSSION: WHY TONGUE TWISTERS WORK WONDERS

Why do tongue twisters produce such impressive results? The answer lies at the crossroads of phonology, neurology, and psychology, with practical implications for L2 classrooms. *The Motor-Speech Connection:* Tongue twisters are like obstacle courses for the mouth, demanding precise coordination between auditory perception and articulatory output. When a learner tackles "The sixth sick sheik's sixth sheep," they're training their brain to map sounds to muscle movements, a process known as sensorimotor integration (Levelt, 1989). This strengthens the motor-speech loop, enabling smoother, more accurate pronunciation (Tourville & Guenther, 2011). For example, practicing "red lorry, yellow lorry" helps learners distinguish /r/ and /l/, a common challenge for speakers of languages like Japanese or Korean. *Neuroplasticity and Native-Like Speech:* Adult L2 learners often feel stuck, as if their brains are too rigid to master new sounds. Yet, tongue twisters tap into neuroplasticity—the brain's ability to rewire itself. Repetitive practice of phonologically dense phrases strengthens neural pathways for speech planning, error detection, and fluency (Hickok & Poeppel, 2007; Abutalebi & Green, 2007). Over time, this can lead to more native-like pronunciation, even for notoriously difficult sounds like /θ/ or /ð/ (Kuhl, 2004). *Breaking the Fossilization Barrier:* Fossilized errors, such as consistently saying "led" instead of "red," are a persistent challenge. Tongue twisters act as a linguistic reset, forcing learners to confront problematic sounds in rapid, novel sequences. This promotes active self-monitoring and correction, disrupting entrenched patterns (Derwing & Munro, 2005). For instance, "this thistle is thorny" challenges learners to differentiate /θ/ and /ð/, helping them overcome long-standing errors. *Sharpening Phonemic Awareness:* Phonemic awareness—the ability to distinguish and manipulate a language's smallest sound units—is critical for clear pronunciation. Tongue twisters, with their focus on minimal pairs, train learners to hear subtle differences. Practicing "she sells sea shells" highlights the /s/-/ʃ/ contrast, enabling learners to produce "see" and "she" accurately (Saito, 2011). This skill also supports vocabulary acquisition, as clearer pronunciation aids word recognition (Nation & Newton, 2009). *The Fun Factor:* Pronunciation practice can feel intimidating, especially when learners worry about their accents. Tongue twisters turn this anxiety into play. The shared laughter, retries, and triumphs—like nailing "How much wood would a woodchuck chuck"—build confidence and motivation (Pennington & Richards, 1986). As one student said, "It's like a game, but I'm getting better without even realizing it."

Practical Classroom Applications: Tongue twisters are a versatile, low-cost tool for L2 teachers. Here are actionable strategies to integrate them effectively (Grant, 2017):

- Targeted Sound Practice: Select tongue twisters based on learners' needs. For example, use "this thistle is thorny" for /θ/-/ð/ practice or "red lorry, yellow lorry" for /r/-/l/ distinctions.
- Scaffolded Activities: Start with slow, choral repetition, then progress to individual practice or pair competitions to say a tongue twister the fastest without errors. For instance, students can race to say "Peter Piper picked a peck" five times flawlessly.
- Integration with Curriculum: Pair tongue twisters with vocabulary or grammar lessons. For example, use "she sells sea shells" in a unit on commerce to link pronunciation with meaning.
- Motivational Games: Create a "Tongue Twister Tournament" where students earn points for accuracy and speed, fostering engagement and reducing anxiety.
- Technology Support: Record students practicing tongue twisters using apps like Praat or ELSA Speak, allowing them to analyze their progress and hear improvements over time.

These strategies make tongue twisters accessible across proficiency levels and classroom contexts, enhancing both skill and enthusiasm (Celce-Murcia et al., 2010).

CONCLUSION: A SIMPLE TOOL WITH PRFOUND POTENTIAL

Tongue twisters may seem like child's play, but they're a cognitive and articulatory powerhouse. By engaging the brain's speech centers, sharpening articulatory precision, and fostering phonemic awareness, they offer a dynamic, evidence-based approach to L2 pronunciation. Their playful nature

makes them accessible, transforming the often-daunting task of pronunciation practice into a joyful challenge. For teachers, they're a low-cost, high-impact tool to revolutionize pronunciation pedagogy. For learners, they're a reminder that mastering a new language can be as fun as it is rewarding. The next time you hear someone stumbling through "Peter Piper" or "red lorry, yellow lorry," don't just smile—celebrate the cognitive symphony at work. With every twist of the tongue, they're rewiring their brain, one phoneme at a time.

REFERENCES:

1. Abutalebi, J., & Green, D. W. (2007). Bilingual language production: The neurocognition of language switching. Journal of Neurolinguistics, 20(3), 242–275. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneuroling.2006.10.003
2. Anderson-Hsieh, J., Johnson, R., & Koehler, K. (1992). The relationship between native speaker judgments of nonnative pronunciation and deviance in segmentals, prosody, and syllable structure. Language Learning, 42(4), 529–555. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-1770.1992.tb01043.x
3. Bohland, J. W., & Guenther, F. H. (2006). An fMRI investigation of syllable sequence production. NeuroImage, 32(2), 821–841. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.04.173
4. Byrd, D. (1994). Relations of sex and dialect to reduction. Speech Communication, 15(1), 39–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-6393(94)90039-6
5. Celce-Murcia, M., Brinton, D. M., & Goodwin, J. M. (2010). Teaching pronunciation (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
6. Derwing, T. M., & Munro, M. J. (2005). Second language accent and pronunciation teaching: A research-based approach. TESOL Quarterly, 39(3), 379–397. https://doi.org/10.2307/3588486
7. Field, J. (2005). Intelligibility and the listener: The role of lexical stress. TESOL Quarterly, 39(3), 399–423. https://doi.org/10.2307/3588487
8. Flege, J. E. (1995). Second language speech learning: Theory, findings, and problems. In W. Strange (Ed.), Speech perception and linguistic experience (pp. 233–277). York Press.
9. Foote, J. A., Trofimovich, P., Collins, L., & Urzúa, F. S. (2016). Pronunciation teaching practices in Canada. TESOL Quarterly, 50(2), 379–393. https://doi.org/10.1002/tesq.237
10. Grant, L. (2017). Well said: Pronunciation for clear communication (4th ed.). Cengage Learning.
11. Guenther, F. H. (2016). Neural control of speech. MIT Press.
12. Hickok, G., & Poeppel, D. (2007). The cortical organization of speech processing. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 8(5), 393–402. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2113
13. Kuhl, P. K. (2004). Early language acquisition: Cracking the speech code. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 5(11), 831–843. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1533
14. Lambacher, S. (1999). A CALL tool for improving second language acquisition of English consonants by Japanese learners. Computer Assisted Language Learning, 12(2), 137–156. https://doi.org/10.1076/call.12.2.137.5722
15. Levelt, W. J. M. (1989). Speaking: From intention to articulation. MIT Press.
16. Moyer, A. (2013). Foreign accent. Cambridge University Press.
17. Nation, I. S. P., & Newton, J. (2009). Teaching ESL/EFL listening and speaking. Routledge.
18. Pennington, M. C., & Richards, J. C. (1986). Pronunciation revisited. TESOL Quarterly, 20(2), 207–225. https://doi.org/10.2307/3586541

19. Price, C. J. (2012). A review and synthesis of the first 20 years of PET and fMRI studies of heard speech, spoken language, and reading. NeuroImage, 62(2), 816–847. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.01.013
20. Saito, K. (2011). Examining the role of explicit phonetic instruction in native-like and comprehensible pronunciation development. Language Awareness, 20(1), 45–59. https://doi.org/10.1080/09658416.2010.540326
21. Tierney, A., & Kraus, N. (2013). The ability to move to a beat is linked to the consistency of neural responses to sound. Frontiers in Psychology, 4, 1–16. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00736
22. Tourville, J. A., & Guenther, F. H. (2011). The DIVA model: A neural theory of speech acquisition and production. Language and Cognitive Processes, 26(7), 952–981. https://doi.org/10.1080/01690960903498424